



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/534,620

05/11/2005

Michael W Marcellin

122170.00002US

7448

34282

7590

12/19/2008

QUARLES & BRADY LLP  
ONE SOUTH CHURCH AVENUE, SUITE 1700  
TUCSON, AZ 85701-1621

EXAMINER

DO, ANH HONG

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2624

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/19/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/534,620	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MARCELLIN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> ANH H. DO	<b>Art Unit</b> 2624	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ANH H. DO. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) GAVIN MILCZAREK-DESAI. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 15 December 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 16, 18, and 20-23.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant's Representative would like to verify the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. The Examiner indicated that the claimed features must have support from the specification and suggested the phrase "computer readable medium" should be added to those claims in order to avoid a possible rejection under 35 U.S.C. 101.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/ANH H DO/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2624	
---	--